PART I INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION ON THE LANCASTER PARSED CORPUS

1. The Lancaster Parsed Corpus is a corpus of British English sentences excerpted from printed publications of the year 1961. The Parsed Corpus is a subset of the Lancaster-Oslo/Bergen Corpus (= LOB Corpus) (see S. Johansson, G. N. Leech and H. Goodluck, Manual of Information to accompany the Lancaster-Oslo/Bergen Corpus of British English, Department of English, University of Oslo, 1978). Each sentence in the Parsed Corpus has undergone a syntactic analysis in the form of a phrase marker, or labelled bracketing, following the scheme of analysis outlined in Part III below.¹

TABLE I: CONTENTS OF LANCASTER PARSED CORPUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text categories</th>
<th>No. of sentences</th>
<th>No. of words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Press: reportage</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>8188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Press: editorial</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>8832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Press: reviews</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>7145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Religion</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>8803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Skills, trades and hobbies</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>8347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Popular lore</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>7526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G Belles lettres, biography, essays</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>5987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Miscellaneous (government documents, etc)</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>5798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Learned &amp; scientific writings</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>7537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K General fiction</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>1279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Mystery &amp; detective fiction</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>13010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Science fiction</td>
<td>769</td>
<td>7525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Adventure &amp; western fiction</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>12919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Romance &amp; love story</td>
<td>1369</td>
<td>13826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Humour</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>8018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL: 11827 134740

The categories A-R above are those of the original LOB Corpus, which in turn were based on those of the Brown Corpus of American written English, for which the LOB Corpus was a British matching equivalent.²
3. The word-tagged LOB Corpus, which has provided the input to the Parsed Corpus, consists of 1,013,737 tagged running words, divided into 500 samples of approximately 2,000 words each. The Parsed Corpus is a sub-corpus of it, consisting of 13.29% of the tagged LOB Corpus.

4. The Parsed Corpus contains sentences from the first 10 text samples of each Text Category of the LOB Corpus, except for Categories M and R, which contained only 6 and 9 text samples (respectively) in the original corpus. Thus, roughly speaking, the Parsed Corpus represents, in smaller quantities, the range of text samples provided in the original LOB Corpus. However, there are qualifications to be made to this statement, as indicated in the paragraphs below.

5. Since the Parsed Corpus samples are restricted to the first ten (or less) samples in each Category, they are in fact more limited in genre/domain than the original corpus. These limitations are indicated in the descriptions in Table II below, which also lists the number of text samples per category both in the Parsed Corpus, and in the LOB Corpus:

**TABLE II: TYPES AND NUMBERS OF TEXT SAMPLES IN THE PARED CORPUS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text Categories in Parsed Corpus</th>
<th>Number of text samples in:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(More precisely defined than in Table I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A National daily papers (reportage)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B National daily papers (editorial)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C National daily papers (reviews)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Books on religion</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Homecraft, handiman, and hobbies publications</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Popular politics, psychology, sociology</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G Biography, memoirs</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H Government documents</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J Writing on natural sciences</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Novels (general fiction)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Novels (mystery and detective)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Novels and short stories (science fiction)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N Novels (adventure and western)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Novels (romance and love story)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Novels and articles from periodicals (humour)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL number of text samples:** 145 (500)

6. As will be evident from Tables I and II, the Parsed Corpus does not contain all the sentences of the c.2000-word text extracts in the LOB Corpus which were used as input. In fact, the sentences included in the Parsed Corpus are considerably shorter, on the average, than the average sentence length for the LOB Corpus as a whole (the average for Parsed Corpus is 11.39 words per sentence, as compared with an average of c.19 words per sentence for the entire LOB Corpus). On the whole, then, longer sentences have been excluded from the Parsed Corpus, with the result that the Parsed Corpus no longer contains LOB text extracts in their entirety.
7. The reason for the omission of longer sentences is as follows. Our original hope was that we would be able to parse the whole of the LOB Corpus automatically. The prototype probabilistic parser developed for this purpose is described in R. Garside, G. Leech and G. Sampson (eds), *The Computational Analysis of English: a Corpus-based Approach*, London: Longman, 1987, Chapter 6. In practice, this goal proved too ambitious, and we ran the parser over only the 145 text extracts as listed in Table II. Even then, the parser was unable to achieve a parse of most sentences over 20-25 words in length. These unparsed sentences were therefore omitted from the Parsed Corpus. For the remaining sentences, which had been parsed, we accepted the "winning parse" (that to which the parser had assigned the highest probability) as the input to a manual post-editing stage. During manual post-editing, many sentences which the parser had incorrectly parsed (according to the procedure mentioned above) were corrected by hand, and a number of final checks were made to ensure that the Parsed Corpus is reasonably error-free. Therefore the Lancaster Parsed Corpus can be regarded as a treebank broadly representative of the syntax of written (printed) English across a great variety of styles and text types. It may hopefully be used, for example, as a testbed for wide-coverage general-purpose grammars and parsers of English, as well as for quantitative linguistic studies of English syntax.

8. The fact that long sentences are under-represented in the corpus does, of course, limit the corpus's value as a testbed. In coverage of grammatical rules and structures, however, it will probably be more adequate than any other treebank publicly available to date.

9. In another respect, too, the corpus is unrepresentative: it cannot be regarded as a balanced sub-sample of the LOB Corpus. Hence any quantitative comparison between features observed in the parsed corpus and other features independently observed in the LOB Corpus are likely to be unreliable. The reason for this is (as can be gleaned from Tables I and II) that the Text Categories are not represented in the Parsed Corpus according to their proportion of the full LOB Corpus. For example (to take the most extreme cases), in Category G, the Parsed Corpus contains only 3.84% of the original LOB Corpus material, whereas in Category M, it contains 62.13%. Disparities such as this are due to (a) the greater average length of sentences in some categories than in others, and (b) variation across Text Categories in the number of text extracts in the LOB Corpus and in the number of text samples in the Parsed Corpus.

10. In practice, the consequences of the factors just mentioned are that the Parsed Corpus contains a higher proportion of material from imaginative (largely fictional) texts (Categories K-R), whereas the LOB Corpus as a whole has a higher proportion of expository text material (Categories A-J). The figures are given in Table III:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expository Categs. (A-J)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOB Corpus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsed Corpus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Notes to Part I

1. Some special symbols which occurred in the original untagged LOB Corpus remain in the parsed version. Their significance can be checked in Johansson et al. (1978). On the other hand, in the course of tagging, some symbols (especially some capital letters) were changed, and these changes remain in the parsed version.


3. Information on the Tagged LOB Corpus is provided by: S. Johansson (in collaboration with E. Atwell, R. Garside, and G. Leech), The Tagged LOB Corpus Users' Manual, Bergen: Norwegian Computing Centre for the Humanities, 1986. The Manual, in particular, provides details of the precise interpretation and implementation of word-tags in the LOB Corpus. (For a list of the word-tags, see Part II below.)
4. The notion of "correct parse" is, of course, not unproblematic, as on occasions true ambiguities arise: that is, there may be uncertainty about which parse best corresponds to the most likely interpretation of a sentence in its context. However, in general, this problem has been found to arise only occasionally. A problem more likely to trouble the user, despite safeguards taken by the compilers, is an inconsistency in parsing practices, in cases where it could be argued that two different bracketings are linguistically valid representations of the same form-meaning association. And finally it must be acknowledged that errors — by any definition — remain in the corpus in spite of careful and repeated post-editing. However regrettable this is, the reasons for it will be evident to anyone who has undertaken a comparable task.
PART II LIST OF GRAMMATICAL WORD-TAGS IN THE LANCASTER PARSED CORPUS

ABL pre-qualifier in a noun phrase (QUITE, RATHER, SUCH)
ABN pre-quantifier in a noun phrase (ALL, HALF)
ABX pre-quantifier / double conjunction (BOTH)
AP post-determiner (FEW, FEWER, FORMER, LAST, LATTER, LEAST, LESS, LITTLE, MANY, MORE, MOST, MUCH, NEXT, ONLY, OTHER, OWN, SAME, SEVERAL, VERY)
AP$ OTHER'S
APS OTHERS
APS$ OTHERS'
AT singular article (A, AN, EVERY)
ATI singular or plural article (THE, NO)
BE BE
BED WERE
BEDZ WAS
BEG BEING
BEM AM
BEN BEEN
BER ARE, 'RE
BEZ IS, 'S
CC coordinating conjunction (AND, AND/OR, BUT, NOR, ONLY, OR, YET)
CD cardinal number (2, 3, etc; TWO, THREE, etc; HUNDRED, THOUSAND, etc; DOZEN, ZERO)
CS$ cardinal number + genitive
CD-CD hyphenated pair of cardinal numbers (e.g. 1988-90)
CD1 ONE
CD1$ ONE'S
CD1S ONES
CDS cardinal number + plural (TENS, MILLIONS, DOZENS, etc)
CS subordinating conjunction (AFTER, ALTHOUGH, etc)
DO DO
DOD DID
DOZ DOES
DT singular determiner (ANOTHER, EACH, THAT, THIS)
DT$ singular determiner + genitive (ANOTHER'S)
DTI determiner neutral for number (ANY, ENOUGH, SOME)
DTS plural determiner (THESE, THOSE)
DTX determiner / double conjunction (EITHER, NEITHER)
EX existential THERE
HV HAVE
HVD HAD, 'D (past tense)
HVG HAVING
HVN HAD (past participle)
HVZ HAS, 'S
IN preposition (general)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INF</td>
<td>FOR as preposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INO</td>
<td>OF as preposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INW</td>
<td>WITH as preposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJ</td>
<td>adjective (general)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJB</td>
<td>attributive adjective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNP</td>
<td>adjective with word-initial cap; e.g. WELSH, KEYNESIAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJR</td>
<td>comparative adjective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJT</td>
<td>superlative adjective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>modal auxiliary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>cited word as singular noun (e.g. &quot;LED is a verb&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN</td>
<td>singular common noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP</td>
<td>singular common noun; word-initial cap; e.g. LONDONER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNPS</td>
<td>plural common noun; word-initial cap; e.g. LONDONERS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNPS$</td>
<td>plur. common noun; word-init. cap; genitive LONDONERS'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP$</td>
<td>sing. common noun; word-init.cap; gen; e.g. LONDONER'S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNS</td>
<td>plural common noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNS$</td>
<td>plural common noun + genitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNU</td>
<td>singular unit of measurement (e.g. IN. KG.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNUS</td>
<td>plural unit of measurement (e.g. INS. KGS.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNUS$</td>
<td>plural unit of measurement + genitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>singular proper noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS</td>
<td>plural proper noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS$</td>
<td>plural proper noun + genitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP$</td>
<td>singular proper noun + genitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL</td>
<td>singular locative noun; word-initial cap.; e.g. ISLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPLS</td>
<td>plural locative noun; word-initial cap.; e.g. ISLANDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPLS$</td>
<td>plural loc. noun; word-init. cap; + gen.; e.g. ISLANDS'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL$</td>
<td>sing.loc. noun; word-init. cap; + gen.; e.g. ISLAND'S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPT</td>
<td>singular titular noun; word-initial cap.; e.g. DR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPTS</td>
<td>plural titular noun; word-initial cap.; e.g. MESSRS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPTS$</td>
<td>plur. tit. noun; word-init. cap.; + gen.; e.g. QUEENS'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>singular adverbial noun (JANUARY, FEBRUARY, etc; SUNDAY, MONDAY, etc; EAST, WEST, etc; TODAY, TOMORROW, TONIGHT; DOWNTOWN, HOME)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR$</td>
<td>singular adverbial noun + genitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>plural adverbal noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OD</td>
<td>ordinal number (1ST, 2ND, etc; FIRST, SECOND, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>nominal pronoun (ANYBODY, ANYONE, ANYTHING; EVERYBODY, EVERYONE, EVERYTHING; NOBODY, NONE, NOTHING, NO ONE; SOMEBODY, SOMEONE, SOMETHING; SO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN$</td>
<td>nominal pronoun + genitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>possessive determiner (MY, YOUR, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS$</td>
<td>possessive pronoun (MINE, YOURS, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP1A</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 1st pers sing nom (I)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP1AS</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 1st pers plur nom (WE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP1O</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 1st pers sing acc (ME)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP1OS</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 1st pers plur acc (US, 'S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP2</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 2nd pers (YOU, THOU, THEE, YE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP3</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 3rd pers sing nom+acc (IT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP3A</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 3rd pers sing nom (HE, SHE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP3AS</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 3rd pers plur nom (THEY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP3O</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 3rd pers plur acc (HIM, HER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP3OS</td>
<td>personal pronoun, 3rd pers plur acc (THEM, 'EM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPL</td>
<td>singular reflexive pronoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPLS</td>
<td>plural reflexive pronoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QL</td>
<td>qualifier (AS, AWFULLY, LESS, MORE, SO, TOO, VERY, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLP</td>
<td>post-qualifier (ENOUGH, INDEED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB</td>
<td>adverb (general)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB$</td>
<td>adverb + genitive (ELSE'S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBR</td>
<td>comparative adverb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBT</td>
<td>superlative adverb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>adverb (homograph of preposition: BELOW, NEAR, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN</td>
<td>nominal adverb (HERE, NOW, THERE, THEN, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>adverbal particle (BACK, DOWN, OFF, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>infinitival TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI</td>
<td>interjection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VB</td>
<td>base form of lexical verb (uninflected present tense, imperative, infinitive, subjunctive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBD</td>
<td>past tense of lexical verb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBG</td>
<td>present participle or gerund of lexical verb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBN</td>
<td>past participle of lexical verb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBZ</td>
<td>3rd person singular of verb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDT</td>
<td>WH-determiner (WHAT, WHATEVER, WHATSOEVER, WHICH, WHICHEVER, WHICHSOEVER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>WH-pronoun, nom+acc (WHO, WHOEVER, THAT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPS</td>
<td>WH-pronoun, genitive (WHOSE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPA</td>
<td>WH-pronoun, nom (WHOSOEVER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPO</td>
<td>WH-pronoun, acc (WHOM, WHOMSOEVER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRB</td>
<td>WH-adverb (HOW, WHEN, WHERE, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNOT</td>
<td>NOT, N'T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZZ</td>
<td>letter of the alphabet (E, X, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>!</td>
<td>exclamation mark (!)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp;FO</td>
<td>formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp;FW</td>
<td>foreign word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(</td>
<td>left bracket ( [</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>)</td>
<td>right bracket ) ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*'</td>
<td>begin quote: *' *&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**&quot;</td>
<td>end quote: **&quot; **&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*-</td>
<td>dash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,</td>
<td>comma (,)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>full stop (.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>ellipsis (...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>:</td>
<td>colon (:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>;</td>
<td>semicolon (;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>question mark (?)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART III INFORMATION ON CONSTITUENT TAGS AND SYNTACTIC NOTATION

1. The General Format of the Files

The text is contained in plain ASCII text files. Each sentence is in a separate paragraph and is followed by an empty line. At the head of each paragraph is a line that serves to identify the sentence that follows. It contains an alphanumeric code standing for the genre and text sample in the LOB Corpus that the sentence belongs to. Next to this, is the ordinal number of the sentence in the present corpus. Numbering is restarted at the beginning of each genre. To ensure readability of text on 80 column wide computer screens, text lines have been formatted so that they do not reach over 72 characters. Below is a sample sentence:

B06 666
[S[N it_PP3 N][V would_MD become_VB V][J easy_JJ J][Ti&[Vi
to_TO be_BE Vi][J cynical_JJ J][Ti+ and_CC [Vi to_TO
despair_VB Vi]T+]Ti&] ._. S]

Each word in the text is followed by an underscore character and a sequence of symbols (normally capital letters) which represents a wordtag, i.e. a label giving the grammatical class of a word. (See Part II for a full list.) For example, in the sample text above PP3 means `third person personal pronoun' and MD means `modal auxiliary'. For the present purposes punctuation marks are treated as words and have their own tags.

The syntactic structure of the sentence is laid out in the form of labelled bracketing. The type of grammar used in the parsing was a Phrase Structure Grammar, in which the structure of a sentence can be shown as a tree, with `S' (= `Sentence') as the root of the tree and the wordtags `PP3', `MD', etc. as the leaves of the tree. Here, for instance, is the sentence above represented as a tree:

```
S
   N
   V
   J
       Ti&
       Vi
       J
       Ti+
       Vi
       TO
       BE
       JJ
       TO
       CC
       TO
       VB

It would become easy to be cynical and to despair.
```

Alternatively, the same phrase structure (omitting the wordtags at the bottom) can be represented as a labelled bracketing as follows:

```
[S [N] [V] [J] [Ti& [Vi] [J] [Ti+ [Vi] Ti+] Ti&] S]
```
2. Constituents and Constituent Tags

The interpretation of the labelled bracketing on the output is as follows:

[`"] represents the opening of a constituent, and `"]" represents the closing or completion of a constituent. The symbols alongside these brackets are labels for constituents. For example, `[V" means `a verb phrase opens here' and `V"]" means `a verb phrase closes here'. The labels are called constituent tags, as distinct from the wordtags, which label words and are attached to them directly. Constituent tags label higher constituents such as sentences, clauses and phrases, and are attached to the corresponding brackets.

For example, the following are the meanings of the constituent tags in our sample sentence:

S `independent sentence' (including a direct quotation or an interpolation within an including sentence)
N `noun phrase'
V `verb phrase' (in the narrower sense which excludes objects, complements, etc. following the main verb)
J `adjective phrase'
Ti& `compound infinitive clause' (i.e an infinitive clause containing two or more coordinated infinitives)
Ti+ `coordinated infinitive clause' (i.e. an infinitive clause which is the second or subsequent conjoined part of a compound infinitive clause)
Vi `infinitive verb phrase'

You will notice that these constituent tags sometimes consist of just one capital letter, and at other times there are additional symbols, such as a lower-case letter, an `&' or a `+'.

The capital letters indicate the major class of the constituent that the tag labels. Where they occur, additional lower-case letters indicate a subclassification. A `&' represents a compound constituent (e.g. `N&' indicates a compound noun phrase, in which two or more noun-phrase-like structures are joined by a coordinating conjunction). A `+' represents a coordinated constituent, e.g. `N+' indicates a coordinated noun phrase.

The grammar on which this parsing scheme is based is somewhat simplified. It is simplified (i) because very few subclassifications are included (`Vi' being an exception), and (ii) because the constituent tags indicate formal categories only. They do not represent functional concepts such as `subject', `object', `complement' and `adverbial'.

3. Coordination

It is important to note that this parsing scheme treats coordination in a rather unorthodox way (which is, nevertheless, convenient for automatic parsing). Suppose we take a typical compound noun phrase such as:

`my brother and his wife'
Most phrase structure grammars would represent this construction roughly in the following way:

\[ \text{[N& [N+ my brother N+] and [N+ his wife N+] N&]} \]

The construction is symmetrical and may be shown in the form of a tree diagram as follows:

```
  N&
 /   \
|    |  N+     N+
my   brother and his wife
```

However, the present parsing scheme treats the second coordinated noun phrase as subordinate in relation to the first, as follows:

\[ \text{[N& my brother [N+ and his wife N+] N&]} \]

Notice that the `and' is treated as part of the coordinated noun phrase that it introduces,

As a tree-diagram, this looks like this:

```
  N&
 /   \
|    |  N+     N+
my   brother and his wife
```

If the compound noun phrase contains three (or more) noun-phrase-like structures, the second and subsequent ones are treated as subordinated, as in:

`my brother and my sister-in-law and their children'

This is analyzed:

\[ \text{[N& my brother [N+ and my sister-in-law N+] [N+ and their children N+] N&]} \]

It is common, however, for the first `and' to be omitted in such structures, and in that case, the constituent tag `N-' is used for a coordinated noun phrase not introduced by `and':

\[ \text{[N& my brother [N- my sister-in-law N-] [N+ and their children N+] N&]} \]

The same convention is used in constructions with parataxis, i.e. constructions where the `and' is omitted altogether:

\[ \text{[J& very tasty, [J- very sweet J-] J&]} \]

One more oddity about coordination is that if a sentence begins with a coordinating conjunction, the whole sentence is tagged `S' not `S+'. E.g.:

\[ \text{[S but in his letter 12 days later he retracted. S]} \]
4. Details of Constituent tags

Sentence tags

Sq and Si
`Sq' means `a piece of direct quotation' — normally an independent piece of language which occurs in fictional dialogue enclosed in quote marks. `Si' means `an interpolated sentence' — i.e. a grammatically independent piece of language which is inserted (normally enclosed in brackets) in another sentence, but is not grammatically part of it. Note the following conventions used in handling direct quotations:
Pattern A:  
"Nothing will change my mind", said Pat.
Pattern B:
    Pat said, "Nothing will change my mind".
In these cases, the direct speech is analyzed as [Sq]:
    Pattern A: [S "[Sq]" , [V] [N] S]
    Pattern B: [S [N] [V] , "[Sq]" S]

Pattern C:
"Nothing," said Pat, "will change my mind".
In this case, Sq isn't used. Instead, the reporting clause is treated as an Si:
    Pattern C: [S "..." , [Si] , "..." S]

Here is a further example of the use of Si:
    That year ([Si how well I remember it! Si]) saw the beginning of my acting career.

S&, S+ and S-
`S&' represents a compound sentence, `S+' represents the second or subsequent conjoin of a compound sentence, if it begins with a coordinating conjunction, and `S-' represents such a conjoin when it does not begin with a coordinating conjunction. (See the discussion on coordination in 3. above).

Finite Clause Tags

F
A finite subordinate clause — i.e. a clause which contains a finite verb, and which is grammatically included in a sentence, is symbolized `F'. Typically, the `F' is followed by another symbol as detailed below.

Fa
`Fa' is a finite adverbial clause (e.g. a finite subordinate clause of time, of condition, of reason etc.)
E.g.: `[Fa Now that I have found out Fa] it may be easier for me to say it.'
Fc
`Fc' is a comparative clause, normally beginning with `than' or `as'.

E.g.: `He is cleverer [Fc than I thought Fc].'

Fn
`Fn' is a finite nominal clause – i.e. a finite subordinate clause which functions in the position of a noun phrase. Examples of `Fn' are that-clauses and wh-clauses (including indirect statements and indirect questions, also including `zero that-clauses', where the that is omitted at the beginning of the clause),

E.g.: `I know [Fn that you saw them Fn].'

Fr
`Fr' is a relative clause – whether restrictive or non-restrictive,

E.g.: `the house [Fr in which I was born Fr]'

N.B. a `fused' or `nominal relative clause' as in `I will do [what you want]’ is treated as `Fn'.

F&, F+, F-, etc.
These tags, which will also occur in combination with the letters `a', `n', `r' etc., are used for coordinated finite subordinate clauses in accordance with the rules detailed in 3.

Nonfinite and verbless clause tags

T
Nonfinite clauses are indicated by `T'. However, `T' does not normally occur alone. It is combined with the subscripts below.

Ti
`Ti' stands for a to-infinitive clause (e.g. an infinitive construction in which to+infinitive may or may not be followed by an object, a complement and/or adverbials).

E.g.: `It was a pity [Ti to leave them behind Ti].'

Tg
`Tg' stands for an -ing clause (i.e. a participial or gerundival construction in which the -ing form of the verb may or may not be followed by an object, a complement, and/or adverbials).

E.g.: `... where he first saw light machine guns [Tg being assembled Tg].'

Tn
`Tn' stands for a past participle clause (i.e. a construction in which the past participle form of the verb may or may not be followed by an object, a complement and/or adverbials).

E.g.: `[Tn Disappointed by the outcome Tn], John proceeded ...'
Tb
`Tb' stands for a `bare infinitive clause' (i.e. a construction in which the `bare infinitive' — infinitive without to — may or may not be followed by an object, a complement and/or abverbials).

E.g.: `We saw her [Tb cross the street hurriedly Tb].'

Tf
`Tf' is used as a variant of the infinitive clause, where the subject of the infinitive is introduced by `for'.

E.g.: `That would be a lot [Tf for them to swallow Tf].'

N.B. Nonfinite clauses generally have no subject: but it is also possible for a subject to occur;
E.g.: `I never yet heard of [Tg a young lady dying of love Tg].'

W
`W' stands for a nonfinite or verbless clause introduced by with.

E.g.: `... another job [W with vastly more to offer W].'
`[W With René dying so unexpectedly W], we don't know which way to turn.'
`He sauntered in [W with his hands in his pockets W].'

L
`L' stands for a verbless clause not introduced by with or by a subordinating conjunction.

E.g.: `[L Afraid of the consequences L], he hid the gun in a cupboard.'
`[L The Luger ready L], he walked simply back.'

NOTE: If an adverbial verbless clause or nonfinite clause is introduced by a subordinating conjunction (e.g. if, when), it is treated as a `Fa':
E.g.: `The liner [Fa when finished Fa] will be the largest passenger vessel built in Europe since the war.'
`[Fa If in doubt Fa], leave the decision to your superior.'

If an adverbial verbless clause or nonfinite clause is introduced by a wh-word why, what, how, it is treated as a `Fn':
E.g.: `We didn't know [Fn what to do Fn].'`
`They are leaving the village. Nobody knows [Fn why Fn].'

Constituent Tags for Major Phrase Types

V
`V' means `finite Verb Phrase', in the narrow sense, in which `verb phrase' excludes objects, complements, etc. Thus `V' may include simple verb phrases such as is, have, did and also more complicated ones with modals, progressive aspect, perfect aspect or passive.
Vo and Vr
In general, no subscript is used with `V'. However, `Vo' and `Vr' are exceptions. They are used when a verb phrase is split into two parts by subject-auxiliary inversion. The first part is labelled `Vo' (o = `operator') and the second part is labelled `Vr' (r = `remainder'). E.g. in `Have you seen Mary?' `have' is `Vo' and `seen' is `Vr'.

Note that `V' includes the negative word `not' as well as adverbs. E.g. the whole of `have not seen' (or `haven't seen' or `have recently seen') is a `V'. But if the subject noun phrase occurs between the auxiliary and the main verb, this is treated as a separate noun phrase. Accordingly, `have you seen' consists of `Vo' followed by `N' followed by `Vr'.

Vi, Vg, Vn
These are labels for nonfinite verb phrases, i.e. verb phrases which are the verb phrases of nonfinite clauses `Ti', `Tg' or `Tn'.

Vi
means `to-infinitive verb phrase', e.g. `to eat' or `to have eaten'.

Vg
means `-ing participle verb phrase', e.g. `eating' or `having eaten'.

Vn
means `past participle verb phrase', e.g. `eaten'.

N
N is the label for a noun phrase, whether it is a single word (such as the pronoun it) or a sequence of words.

Na
In general, `N' has no subscripts. One major exception is `Na', which stands for a noun phrase marked as subject of the verb. In practice, `Na' almost always indicated one of the pronouns `I', `she', `he', `we', `they'. (N.B. `you' and `it' as subject are not marked `Na' because their status of subject is not unambiguously shown by their form.)

Nq
Another exceptional use of `N' + subscript, meaning a wh- noun phrase, such as `who', `which', `which car', `what time' etc.

J
`J' means an adjective phrase such as `happy', `very tall', `too happy for words', etc. If an adjective occurs as the head of a noun phrase, e.g. `the wealthy', `the unemployed', the phrase is marked `N' not `J'.

Jq
Here, as with `Nq', the `q' means a phrase beginning with a wh-word, e.g. `Jq', an adjective phrase beginning with a wh-word, is in practice a phrase such as `How old'.
P
`P' stands for `prepositional phrase', e.g. `in London' or `on arriving at the station', `with it', `for what we are about to receive', i.e. a preposition followed by its complement or completive element. Prepositional phrases also sometimes contain adverbs like `just' in `just inside the door'.

Pq
stands for `prepositional phrase with a wh-word, e.g. `on whose behalf', `in which case', `for whom'.

Po
stands for a `prepositional phrase beginning with the preposition of'.

R
`R' is the symbol for an adverb phrase, which may be a single word such as `there' or `quickly' or may be a sequence such as `quite often', `too fast', `further than I expected', etc.

Rq
stands for an adverb phrase beginning with a wh-word. This would include such phrases as `how' in `How do you feel?', or `how long' in `How long have you been waiting?'

Constituent Tags for Minor Phrase Types

M
`M' stands for a `numeric phrase' when such an expression is part of a noun phrase. Examples are `five thousand' in `five thousand young people'; `another hundred' in `another hundred calories'. Numeric phrases have a numerical word at their head (e.g. `hundred'), and consist of at least two words. (N.B. if numerical expressions such as `five thousand' occur on their own as noun phrases, they are labelled `N'.)

D
`D' stands for a `determiner phrase', i.e. a phrase consisting of at least two words, in which the determiner is a head, and which is part of a noun phrase. E.g. `too many' in `too many people'; `a good few' in `a good few people'. (N.B. `too many' or `a good few' on their own, acting as a noun phrase, are labelled `N'.)

Dq
stands for a determiner phrase (as defined above) beginning with a wh-word. E.g. `how many' and `how much', when they are part of a noun phrase, as in `How many apples (did you buy)?'

G
`G' stands for `genitive phrase' i.e. a phrase which consists of two or more words acting as the genitive in a noun phrase. E.g.`the earth's' in `the earth's rotation around the sun'; `my mother's' in `my mother's greatest wish'; `last Friday's' in `last Friday's Evening Standard'; `someone else's' in `someone else's bedroom'; `the Vicar of Bray's' in `the Vicar of Bray's famous dictum'.
X

`X' is the negative word `not' when acting as an independent element of clause structure; e.g. in `He told us [Fn what not to do Fn]', *not* follows the object of the subordinate clause *what* and precedes the verb phrase *to do*. Thus, the clause *what not to do* has the three constituents `Nq', `X', and `Vi'. Generally, *not* is part of the verb phrase (see under `V' above) and therefore does not require an `X'.

E

`E' is the label used for existential `there', i.e. the unstressed `there' in the `there is/are' construction. E.g. `[E There E] is nothing wrong'.

U

`U' is the tag used for an exclamatory word such as `Oh' or a grammatical isolate such as `yes' or `no'.

**Constituent tags with coordination**

As already mentioned in 3. above, `&', `+', and `-' are suffixes used to mark constituents in coordinated constructions. They may be added to any of the constituent tags. Because of their uniform function, the above list does not contain these composite tags. Merely as an illustrative example, this is how `Tg&' and `Tg+' can be used as follows:

`Would you mind [Tg& coming inside [Tg+ and shutting the door Tg+]Tg&]?'

**Coordinating conjuncts of different types**

A problem of labelling arises when the two or more elements coordinated do not belong to the same class. In such cases, we resort to the device of a `slashtag', i.e. a composite tag in which the classes of the items concerned are separated by a slash (/). E.g.:

`[R/P& mechanically [P+ or by hand P+]R/P&]'

Notice that the slashtag is only used for the first constituent tag – the one ending in `&'. The other tags (`P+' etc.) just label themselves, whereas the first one labels the whole construction. In this composite slashtag label, the first label is the one which the first constituent would normally have (e.g. in the above example, `mechanically' would normally be an adverb phrase `R', so `R' is the first symbol of the slashtag).

No slashtag is used when the first constituent tag and the second or subsequent tags all share the same capital letter. For example, there is no need to use `N/Na&' in the parsing of `my wife and I'

`[N& my wife [Na+ and I Na+]N&]'
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Slashtags can get very complicated when there is a sequence of three or more coordinated elements. Here is one complex, but very rare, example:

```
`Elderly people who are [JJ/Tg/Tn& bedridden [Tg- living alone Tg-], [Tn+ or abandoned by their families Tn+] J/Tg/Tn&]
```

**Word level coordination**

If coordination takes place on the word level only, (e.g. where two or more words inside the same constituent are linked by `and'), the coordination is indicated in the same way as described so far except for the fact that in such cases the relevant wordtags are attached to the brackets. E.g.

```
`objections of [JJ& learned [JJ+ and skilful JJ+]JJ&] anatomists'
```

The reasoning behind this arrangement is that the set of coordinated words behave, with regard to the rest of the sentence, like a single word.

Word level coordinations of words of different classes are treated by slashtags in the same way as described in the previous section. E.g.

```
`[NN/JJ/NN& health, [JJ- marital JJ-][NN+ and property NN+]NN/JJ/NN&] problems'
```

Note, however, that if a word is linked to a phrase of two or more words, then the word counts as a phrase for the purposes of coordination. In other words, if any of the coordinated elements is a phrase, the whole string can no longer be a word level coordination. E.g.

```
`[N& John [N+ and his wife N+]N&]
```

The sequence `very young and friendly] dog', on the other hand, would be ambiguous between coordination of words and coordination of phrases; word coordination (where `very' is understood to apply to both adjectives) is more likely.

**5. When are constituent tags used?**

The above problem about coordination brings up the more general question of when to use constituent tags.

In general, constituent tags are used whenever, to generalize about grammatical structure, we need to recognize constituents intermediate between the `root node' `S' and the `leaf nodes' represented by grammatical wordtags such as `NN', `VB' and `IN'. This general rule needs to be supplemented by the following rules which apply to the parsing of the Lancaster Parsed Corpus.

**RULE 1:** No bracketing is used to identify a constituent which is recognized as such semantically, but is not formally distinguished. E.g.: compare
a) 'the deep blue sea' and b) 'her deep blue eyes'

A) means 'the sea which is both blue and deep'. B) means 'her eyes which are deep blue'. We could show the difference by treating 'deep blue' as a constituent, with its own tag, in the second case:

b) '[N her [J deep blue J] eyes N]'

Of course, if 'deep-blue' occurred hyphenated, which is quite likely, it would then be treated as a single word adjective (wordtagged 'JJ'), rather than a phrase.

But the difference between a) and b) is difference only detectable by the criterion of meaning; it is not signalled by any special structural characteristics. Therefore, our parsing scheme does not differentiate between a) and b), and the constituent '[J deep blue J]' is not recognized.

On the other hand, 'her very blue eyes' would be analyzed as having an adjective phrase ('J') in it, because in this case the adjective phrase can be distinguished structurally by the fact that there is a qualifying adverb ('QL') before the adjective 'blue':

c) '[N her [J very_QL blue J] N]'

RULE 2: A constituent tag IS used where the constituent it labels is an element of clause or sentence structure.

For example, in the sentence, d) 'I saw her twice', each of the words is a separate element of sentence structure: 'I' is the subject, 'saw' is the verb element, 'her' is the direct object, and 'twice' is an adverbial. So each of these words is assigned a phrase tag:

d) '[S [Na I Na] [V saw V] [N her N] [R twice R] S]'

If we draw a tree diagram of this (inserting the wordtags as well), the result is that the tree contains 'unary branching' beneath each of the phrase tags:

This seems wasteful and goes against the general requirement that constituent tags are inserted only when necessary. If we got rid of the phrase tags, the resulting structure would be much simpler.
But this structure would fail to recognize the potential expansion of each of these words into phrases; e.g.:

e) `|[S [N My brother N] [V has seen V] [N your sister N] [N several times N] S]|'

In fact, the rule that elements of clause/sentence structure are given phrase tags also extends to E (the tag for existential `there') and to `U' (the tag for exclamations), even though these cannot be (easily) expanded into multi-word phrases.

EXCEPTION: However, coordinating and subordinating conjunctions do not count as clause/sentence elements for these purposes. When they introduce a clause or sentence, these words (wordtagged `CC' and `CS') are direct constituents of the clause or sentence without receiving tags of their own.

RULE 3: WITHIN phrases (i.e. constituents tagged with one of the symbols `N', `V', `J', `R', `P', `M', `G', `D') phrase or clause tags are used only when the constituent that they label consists of two or more words.

In other words, except for the `unary branching' allowed in accordance with Rule 2 above, `unary branching' is not tolerated. For example, in a noun phrase (`N'), there are various constituents of two or more words which are given tags:

f) Prepositional phrases (`P')
   'the city [P of New York P]'
g) Adjective phrases (`J')
   'the [J most difficult J] job'
h) Genitive phrases (`G')
   `[G last night's G] election'
i) Determiner phrases (`D')
   `[D so much D] trouble'
j) Numeric phrases (`M')
   `[M five hundred M] pounds'
k) Noun phrases (`N')
   'a [N two seater N] sports car'

But if these two-words were replaced by single words, then the tags would no longer be used. Examples parallel to some of the examples above have no constituent tags:

g') `the hardest job'
h') `yesterday's election result'
i') `five pounds'
k') `a two-seater sports car'

Note that if the two words are joined by hyphenation, as in k'), this no longer counts as a phrase, but as a single word.

Note also that in k'), if there were no hyphenation, there would a structural rather than semantic reason for recognizing `two seater' as an `N': the phrase is marked structurally by the fact that the indefinite article (which is singular) is followed by the number `two' (which is plural).
EXCEPTION: There is one important exception to Rule 3: viz. that a preposition in a prepositional phrase is followed by a constituent (normally a noun phrase), which is labelled by a constituent tag, even when the constituent consists of one word. In l) and m)

l) ‘This present is [P for [N your sister N] P]’
m) ‘This present is [P for [N you N] P]’

the prepositional complements ‘your sister’ and ‘you’ are both treated as noun phrases, even though one of them consists of just a single word. The reason for this exception is that the nominal expression which follows a preposition has all the potentiality of a noun phrase.

6. Punctuation

Punctuation points and brackets (., ‘?’, ‘!’ ‘;’ ‘:’ ‘,’ ‘-’ ‘(’ ‘)’ ) are treated as words for the purposes of wordtagging. Contrary to orthodox practice, punctuation points are treated as parts of a sentence in their own right. The full stop which ends most sentences is treated as the last constituent of the highest ‘S’. Other punctuation points and brackets are placed as high in the constituent structure tree as they can be, subject to other parsing conventions and rules. Quotation marks, however, are ignored in parsing except when they mark an ‘Sq’ (direct quotation) constituting an independent sentence.

7. Idiom tags

The above paragraphs may have reinforced the idea that every wordtag is treated as significant in parsing: it is indeed true, in the main, that parsing operates on a word-by-word basis, treating the word-separating spaces of the written text as sacrosanct. However, apart from quotation marks, there is an important exception to this principle.

A sequence of words which behaves syntactically in an idiomatic way (e.g. ‘in order that’, ‘as well as’) is wordtagged by using the wordtag appropriate for the sequence as a whole for each of its components, together with two digits, the first of which indicates the total number of elements in the idiom, the second standing for the number of the position of that particular element within the sequence. E.g.:

‘in_CS31 order_CS32 that_CS33’
‘as_CC31 well_CC32 as_CC33’
‘up_IN21 to _IN22’

The implication of this arrangement for parsing, of course, is that such sequence is treated as a unit for the purposes of parsing.